DOCKET NO.

☐ Trademarks or

2:12-cv-599 PLAINTIFF

TO:

#### Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DATE FILED

Telecommunications Research Laboratories d/b/a

TR Labs and TR Technologies, Inc.

9/14/2012

### REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

Eastern District of Texas

Communications, Inc., and Masergy Communications

Earthlink, Inc., Zayo Group, LLC, Charter

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been on the following Eastern District of Texas filed in the U.S. District Court ✓ Patents. ( 
☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DEFENDANT

PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
088,414,28		Plea	Please see copy of Complaint attached hereto			
26,421, 349						
37, 260,059						
46,404,734						
5 4,456,835						
•	In the above—entitled case, the	e following	patent(s)/ tradema	rk(s) have been includ	led:	
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY					
DATE INCLUDED		endment	☐ Answer	☐ Cross Bill	☐ Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLD	ER OF PATENT OR	TRADEMARK	
15,850,505						
26,377,543						
36,654,379						
4						
5						
In the abo	ve—entitled case, the following	decision l	as been rendered o	r judgement issued:		
DECISION/JUDGEMENT						
DECISIONACEDOLINE						
			or upit		DATE	
CLERK	(B)	Y) DEPUT	Y CLERK		DATE	
					at Division	
Copy 1Upon initiation of	action, mail this copy to Direc	tor Cor	y 3—Upon termin	nation of action, mail	this copy to Director	
Copy 2-Upon filing docum	ent adding patent(s), mail this	s copy to I	игестог Сору 4	-case me copy		

- Order the defendants to pay the plaintiffs prejudgment interest;
- d) Find this case to be exceptional;
- e) Order the defendants to pay attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- f) Enjoin the defendants from further infringement of the TR Labs patents; and
- g) Award whatever additional relief the Court finds just and equitable.

## JURY DEMAND

TR Labs hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 14, 2012

/s/ James A. Fussell, III James A. Fussell, III (Tripp) Spangler & Fussell P.C. Alexandria, Virginia 22314 TEL (903) 753-9300 FAX (903) 553-0403 [ussell/@sfipfirm.com]

Andrew W. Spangler Spangler & Fussell P.C. Longview, Texas 75601 TEL (903) 753-9300 FAX (903) 553-0403 spangler@sfipfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Telecommunications Research Laboratories d/b/a TR Labs and TR Technologies, Inc.

#### OF COUNSEL:

George C. Summerfield STADHEIM & GREAR 400 North Michigan Avenue Suite 2200 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Tel: (312) 755-4400 Fax: (312) 755-4408 summerfield@stadheimgrear.com

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH LABORATORIES d/h/a TR LABS, a Canadian Not For Profit Corporation, and TR TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Canadian Corporation,	
Plaintiff, v.	) ) ) Civ No.
EARTHLINK, INC., a Delaware corporation, ZAYO GROUP, LLC a Delaware Corporation, CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, MASERGY COMMUNICATIONS INC., a Texas Corporation,	) ) ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDEI ) ) )
Defendants.	)
COMPLAIN	,

The plaintiffs, Telecommunications Research Laboratories, formerly known as Alberta Telecommunications Research Centre, and doing business as TR Labs ("TR Labs"), and TR Technologies, Inc. ("TR Tech") (collectively "plaintiffs") allege in this matter as follows:

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND

## Plaintiffs

- TR Labs is Canada's largest non-profit research consortium with its membership
  including universities, companies, and government agencies. TR Labs has offices throughout
  western Canada, and its principal place of business is 9107 116th Street, Edmonton, Alberta,
  Canada T6G 2V4.
- 2. Among TR Labs' members is the University of Alberta in Edmonton, Canada.
- 3. TR Tech is the exclusive licensee of the patents owned by TR Labs.

#### The TR Labs Patents

- 4. TR Labs is the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent No. 6,914,880, entitled Protection of routers in a telecommunications network ("the '880 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,421,349, entitled Distributed preconfiguration of spare capacity in closed paths for network restoration ("the '349 patent), and U.S. Patent No. 7,260,059, entitled Evolution of a telecommunications network from ring to mesh structure ("the '059 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,404,734, entitled Scalable network restoration device ("the '734 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 4,956,835 entitled Method and apparatus for self-restoring and self-provisioning communication networks ("the '835 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 5,850,505 entitled Method for preconfiguring a network to withstand anticipated failures ("the '505 patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,377,543 entitled Path restoration of networks ("the '543 patent"), and 6,654,379 ("the '379 patent") entitled Integrated ring-mesh network (collectively "the TR Labs patents") (attached as Exhibits A-H).
- 5. The '880 patent issued on July 5, 2005 based upon an application filed on May 19, 1999. The '349 patent issued on July 16, 2002 from an application filed on July 11, 1997. The '059 patent issued on August 21, 2007 from an application filed on June 28, 2001. The '734 patent issued on June 11, 2002 from an application filed on October 6, 1998. The '835 patent issued on September 11, 1990 based upon an application filed on October 19, 1988. The '505 patent issued on December 15, 1998 based upon an application filed on November 1, 1995. The '543 patent issued on April 23, 2002 based upon an application filed on October 20, 1997. The 379 patent issued on November 25, 2003 based upon an application filed on October 7, 1999.

## Dr. Wayne Grover

 The first named inventor on the TR Labs patent is TR Labs' former Chief Scientist in Network Systems Research, Dr. Wayne D. Grover.

- In addition to his position at TR Labs, Dr. Grover was a Professor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Alberta in Edmonton, Canada.
- 8. Dr. Grover is a Fellow of the Institute of Electronic and Electrical Engineers ("IEEE"), a title conferred on those engineers who have demonstrated outstanding proficiency and have achieved distinction in their profession. He is also a Fellow of the Engineering Institute of Canada, a title awarded by that organization for similar scientific achievement.
- 9. Among his numerous awards, in 2001-2002, the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada named Dr. Grover an E.W.R Steacie Fellow, which recognizes highly promising scientists and engineers who are faculty members of Canadian universities. Dr. Grover was awarded the IEEE's 1999 W.R.G. Baker Prize Paper award for the most outstanding paper reporting original work in an IEEE publication, and that same year was named Canada's Outstanding Engineer in Canada by the IEEE.

#### The Defendants

#### A. Earthlink, Inc.

- Defendant Earthlink, Inc. ("Earthlink") is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 1375 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.
- Earthlink operates and/or employs, either directly or indirectly, mesh telecommunications networks in the United States.
- 12. Earthlink operates and/or employs, or has operated or has employed, either directly or indirectly, ring telecommunications networks that have been converted to mesh telecommunication networks in the United States.
- The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Earthlink have deployed at least Cisco ONS 15454 Multiservice platforms, Fujitsu ROADM devices, Ciena

CoreDirector Multiservice Optical Switches, and/or Cisco CRS-1 routers, in addition to other components that are connected to these devices for the purpose of transmitting voice and data traffic.

- 14. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Earthlink utilize the functionality of the afore-referenced devices in a manner designed to restore the flow of voice and data traffic in the event of the failure of a node, circuit, or path during the normal operation of such networks.
- 15. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Earthlink are designed to, and do, interconnect with one another for the transmission of voice and data traffic both when such networks are in normal operation mode, and when there is a failure of a node, circuit, span or path in such networks
- 16. The mesh telecommunications networks and networks converted from ring to mesh networks operated directly or indirectly by Earthlink infringe the claims of the TR Labs patents in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

# B. Zayo Group, LLC

- Defendant Zayo Group, LLC ("Zayo") is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 400 Centennial Parkway, Suite 200, Louisville, Colorado 80027.
- Zayo acquired AboveNet, Inc. ("AboveNet"), including the telecommunications networks owned and operated by AboveNet.
- AboveNet operates and/or employs, either directly or indirectly, mesh telecommunications networks in the United States.

- 20. AboveNet operates and/or employs, or has operated or employed, either directly or indirectly, ring telecommunications networks that have been converted to mesh telecommunication networks in the United States.
- 21. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by AboveNet have deployed at least Cisco ONS 15454 Multiservice platforms, Fujitsu ROADM devices, Ciena CoreDirector Multiservice Optical Switches, and/or Cisco CRS-1 routers, in addition to other components that are connected to these devices for the purpose of transmitting voice and data traffic.
- 22. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by AboveNet utilize the functionality of the afore-referenced devices in a manner designed to restore the flow of voice and data traffic in the event of the failure of a node, circuit, or path during the normal operation of such networks.
- 23. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by AboveNet are designed to, and do, interconnect with one another for the transmission of voice and data traffic both when such networks are in normal operation mode, and when there is a failure of a node, circuit, span or path in such networks
- 24. The mesh telecommunications networks and networks converted from ring to mesh networks operated directly or indirectly by AboveNet infringe the claims of the TR Labs patents in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

## C. Charter Communications, Inc.

 Defendant Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter") is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 12405 Powercourt Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63131.

- Charter operates and/or employs, either directly or indirectly, mesh telecommunications networks in the United States.
- 27. Charter operates and/or employs, or has operated or employed, either directly or indirectly, ring telecommunications networks that have been converted to mesh telecommunication networks in the United States.
- 28. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Charter have deployed at least Cisco ONS 15454 Multiservice platforms, Fujitsu ROADM devices, Ciena CoreDirector Multiservice Optical Switches, and/or Cisco CRS-1 routers, in addition to other components that are connected to these devices for the purpose of transmitting voice and data traffic.
- 29. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Charter utilize the functionality of the afore-referenced devices in a manner designed to restore the flow of voice and data traffic in the event of the failure of a node, circuit, or path during the normal operation of such networks.
- 30. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Charter are designed to, and do, interconnect with one another for the transmission of voice and data traffic both when such networks are in normal operation mode, and when there is a failure of a node, circuit, span or path in such networks
- 31. The mesh telecommunications networks and networks converted from ring to mesh networks operated directly or indirectly by Charter infringe the claims of the TR Labs patents in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

## D. Masergy Communications Inc.

- 32. Defendant Masergy Communications Inc. ("Masergy") is a Texas corporation with a principal place of business at 2740 North Dallas Parkway, No. 260, Plano, Texas 75093-4847.
- Masergy operates and/or employs, either directly or indirectly, mesh telecommunications networks in the United States.
- 34. Masergy operates and/or employs, or has operated or employed, either directly or indirectly, ring telecommunications networks that have been converted to mesh telecommunication networks in the United States.
- 35. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Masergy have deployed at least Cisco ONS 15454 Multiservice platforms, Fujitsu ROADM devices, Ciena CoreDirector Multiservice Optical Switches, and/or Cisco CRS-1 routers, in addition to other components that are connected to these devices for the purpose of transmitting voice and data traffic.
- 36. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Masergy utilize the functionality of the afore-referenced devices in a manner designed to restore the flow of voice and data traffic in the event of the failure of a node, circuit, or path during the normal operation of such networks.
- 37. The mesh telecommunications networks operated and/or employed by Masergy are designed to, and do, interconnect with one another for the transmission of voice and data traffic both when such networks are in normal operation mode, and when there is a failure of a node, circuit, span or path in such networks.

38. The mesh telecommunications networks and networks converted from ring to mesh networks operated directly or indirectly by Masergy infringe the claims of the Masergy patents in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

# JURISDICTION, VENUE AND JOINDER

- The defendants, at all relevant times, have been doing business in this Judicial District.
- 40. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 42. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).
- 43. Each of the defendants utilize the afore-referenced equipment in infringing the patents in suit, making this matter arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences, and making joinder of the parties in this matter proper pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 299(a).

## COUNT I - PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 44. The plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-43, above.
- 45. The defendants have directly infringed the claims of the TR Labs patents by operating, either directly or indirectly, and either alone or in conjunction with the other defendants, mesh telecommunications networks that are covered by such claims in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 46. The plaintiffs are irreparably harmed by the defendants' infringement in view of the finite patent monopoly that the plaintiffs enjoy as the owner and exclusive licensee of the TR Labs patents.

#### PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

- a) Find that the defendants infringe the TR Labs patents;
- b) Order the defendants to pay the plaintiffs damages equal to no less than a reasonable royalty to compensate for the infringement of the TR Labs patents pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;